# **Relative Humidity and Wet/Dry Transitions in Salt Spray Corrosion Tests and Wet/Dry Transport of August 2016**<br> **y Corrosion Tests**<br>
Keting Director<br>
Fechnical Marketing Specialist<br>
Nior Technical Marketing Specialist

**Andy Francis** – Marketing Director

**Bill Tobin** – Senior Technical Marketing Specialist

**Dave Duecker** – Senior Technical Marketing Specialist

**Sean Fowler** – Senior Technical Director

**Q-Lab Corporation** Dave Duecker – Senior<br>Sean Fowler – Senior Te<br>Q-Lab Corporat

*[View Recorded Presentation](https://youtu.be/ttlROBRFxSY)*

#### **Q-Lab's Webinar Series**

Today is the second of a three-part webinar series on **corrosion**

- Our ongoing webinar series is at: [q-lab.com/webinarseries](http://www.q-lab.com/webinarseries)
- Our archived webinars are hosted at: [q-lab.com/webinars](http://www.q-lab.com/webinarseries)



## **Presentation file, Q&A**

You'll receive a follow-up email from [info@email.q-lab.com](mailto:info@email.q-lab.com) with links to a survey, registration for future webinars, and to download the slides

Use the **Q&A feature in Zoom** to ask us questions today! We'll stay on after the presentation is completed to answer all questions



We make testing simple.





## **Topics**

- Corrosion Test Reproducibility
- Deliquescence and its impact on wet/dry times
- Theoretical effects of wet/dry transition times
- ASTM G85 Annex 5 (Prohesion)
- SAE J2334: OEM Implementation
- How today's standards handle moisture transitions Examples and its impact on wet/dry times<br>
effects of wet/dry transition times<br>
Annex 5 (Prohesion)<br>
OEM Implementation<br>
s standards handle moisture transition



#### **Corrosion Test Reproducibility**

Wet/dry cyclic tests…

- generally are more realistic than continuous salt spray
- often have such poor reproducibility that many companies do not use them despite better realism Example 19 are more realistic than continuous<br>pray<br>en have such poor reproducibility than<br>panies do not use them despite better<br>lism



#### **Salts in the Environment & TOW**

- Salts *deliquesce* they absorb moisture from the atmosphere until they dissolve and form a solution.
- All soluble salts will liquefy for RH values <100%
- This leads to increased **time of wetness** and increased **corrosion** deliquesce - they absorb moisture fro<br>phere until they dissolve and form a<br>uble salts will liquefy for RH values <<br>ads to increased **time of wetness** an<br>sed **corrosion**



## **Deliquescence Relative Humidity (DRH)**



*if the environment is above this RH, a liquid salt solution will form*

Q



RH Transitions in Corrosion Testing **8 8** 





 $\Omega$ 



RH Transitions in Corrosion Testing **10**

We make testing simple.







#### **Relative Humidity and Corrosion**





#### **Galvanic corrosion during ramping 50% < RH < 76%**



 $\Omega$ 



 $\frac{1}{\alpha^{LAB}}$ 



 $\frac{1}{\alpha^{LAB}}$ 

#### **RH Conditions in the Natural Environment**



#### RH Transitions in Corrosion Testing **16**

 $Q$ 

#### **Reproducibility Case Study ASTM G85 Annex 5 (Prohesion)**

1 Hour fog at "ambient" temperature (room should be 24°C) 1 hour dry-off 35°C ASTM G85 Annex 5 (Prohesion)<br>
"ambient" temperature (room shoul<br>  $= 35^{\circ}$ C<br>
0.05% NaCl<br>
0.35% (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub><br>
pH: 5.0 - 5.4

Solution: 0.05% NaCl  $0.35\%$  (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> pH: 5.0 - 5.4



#### **Reproducibility Case Study ASTM G85 Annex 5 (Prohesion)**

- *How dry is dry?*
- *How long does it take to achieve a "dry" condition?*

Answers are in the non-mandatory appendix: "within ¾ hour all visible moisture is dried off the specimens" ASTM G85 Annex 5 (Prohesion)<br>
s dry?<br>
does it take to achieve a "dry" condit<br>
in the non-mandatory appendix:<br>
ur all visible moisture is dried off the



#### **Problem Statement**

#### *"My new chamber isn't as severe as my old one"*

*After 1000 hours of Prohesion, new chamber produced less severe results on a coatings test* 



*Older Q-FOG CCT Newer Q-FOG CRH*

#### **Prohesion RH Profile in Two Chambers**



**Q-FOG CCT Cycle:** Step 1: Fog 24°C 1:00 Step 2: Dry 35°C 1:00 Step 3: Go to Step 1

#### **Q-FOG CRH Cycle:**

Step 1: Fog 24°C 1:00 Step 2: RH 35°C, 25% RH *1:00 Auto transition* Step 3: Go to Step 1

Q

 $-1AP$ 

#### **Modified CRH Prohesion Cycle**



**Modified Prohesion Cycle:**

Step 1: FOG 24°C 1:00

Step 2: RH 35°C, 95%RH *0:30 Auto transition*

Step 3: RH 35°C, 25% RH *0:30 Auto transition*

Step 4: Go to Step 1



*Q-FOG CCT Q-FOG CRH (modified cycle)*

#### RH Transitions in Corrosion Testing **21**

Q

 $Q-LAB$ 

#### **Q-FOG CCT vs CRH**

*Q-FOG CCT has simple humidity generation without air flow and dry-off by blown heated air through chamber*



Q

 $94A$ 

#### **Q-FOG CRH Linear and Auto Ramping Transition from Wet to Dry**



 $\mathbf{Q}$ 

#### **Reproducibility Case Study SAE J2334**

## Test Solution 0.5% NaCl  $0.1\%$  CaCl<sub>2</sub>  $0.075\%$  NaHCO<sub>3</sub> SAE J2334<br>
Solution<br>
5% NaCl<br>
2% CaCl<sub>2</sub><br>
3% NaHCO<sub>3</sub><br>
12. Equals to the position of the contract confidence of the contract contract contract Confidence of the Confidence of the Confidence of the Confidence of the Confid

This is the same as GM 9540P and GMW 14872

*Salt solution applied by* 

- *Immersion (used to develop method)*
- *Fog (may not deposit much salt on specimens)*
- *Shower (most common today)*

#### **SAE J2334**



Q

 $-1AP$ 

#### **OEM Implementation of J2334**

Added mass loss requirement after 20 cycles: **1.3 – 3.0 g**

Topcoat specification: Rust "Creepback Value Before Scraping"

**Average: 4, maximum 6.5**







#### **The Problem**

- U.S. lab "passed" a formulation (average CVBS < 3)
- European lab "failed" same formulation (average CVBS > 6)
- Formulation was a proven durable system (used as a test control) "passed" a formulation<br>
CVBS < 3)<br>
an lab "failed" same formulation<br>
CVBS > 6)<br>
ation was a proven durable system<br>
a test control)<br>
an lab coupon mass loss too high<br>
ar 20 cycles - 3 g is max allowed)
- European lab coupon mass loss too high (~5 g after 20 cycles—3 g is max allowed)



#### **Experiment 1: Salt Shower Quantification**



- Amount of collections correlated with mass loss (previously known from GMW 14872 testing)
- Adjusted spray on/off time to reduce spray (10ml/cycle)
- Mass loss remained high!

 $\mathbf Q$ 

#### **What about chamber conditions?**

Wet to dry transitions were programmed differently in U.S. lab (other chamber) and European lab (Q-FOG CRH) transitions were programmed different ther chamber) and European lab (Q-Finute transition step added to U.S. chambers of reduction (a common practice)

– 20 minute transition step added to U.S. chamber to speed up RH reduction (a common practice)

## **Experiment 2: Quick and Slow Dry Times**

Test original default SAE J2334 cycle in Q-FOG and another cycle designed to achieve faster dry-off time inal default SAE J2334 cycle in Q-<br>ycle designed to achieve faster dr<br>



#### **Slow Dry-off Programming Cycle**



Q

 $Q<sub>L</sub>$ 

#### **Slow Dry-off**



This version of the test was Q-Lab's default program for J2334 Linear transition after spray

 $\frac{1}{\alpha^{LAB}}$ 

### **Slow Dry-off (Zoom)**



Zoomed in view of the transition

During the transition, the time above the Deliquescence RH of NaCl is about 1 hour

#### RH Transitions in Corrosion Testing **33**

 $\Omega$ 

#### **Rapid Dry-off Programming Cycle**





#### **Rapid Dry-off**



This version of the test cycle is programmed to be similar to customer's U.S. laboratory (in a different chamber)

 $\frac{1}{\alpha^{LAB}}$ 

## **Rapid Dry-off (Zoom)**



Zoomed in view of the transition

During the transition the time above the Deliquescence RH of NaCl is about 10 minutes

 $\Omega$ 

#### **Corrosion Coupon Mass Loss**



Green bars represent test under slow dry-off conditions

Blue bars represent test under rapid dry-off conditions

Red lines represent tolerance of OEM standard

**Under the rapid dry test, the coated panels once again passed the test**

Q

# **Environmental Transitions in Today's Standards: Two Approaches** Two Approaches<br>
nutes wet to dry)<br>
Companies<br>
SO M609<br>
COMEXERENT CONVERTION<br>
COMEXE

#### **Rapid (<30 minutes wet to dry)**

- Japanese Car Companies
- CCT I, II, IV, JASO M609
- Renault ECC1

**Controlled/Linear**

- Volvo ACT1
- Volvo ACT2/Ford L-467
- GMW 14872
- Renault ECC1
- VDA 233-102



#### **JASO M609 (ISO 14993, 11997-1)**

- Chamber Volume 1100 l
- Chamber Load 240 x 4" x 6" Steel Panels
- Laboratory Room Temperature 28-30 °C





Q

 $Q<sub>L</sub>$ 

#### **JASO M609**

#### Transition times for JASO M609 in full Q-FOG CRH 1100 HSCR Chamber.





#### **Renault D17-2028 (ECC1)**

- Chamber Volume 1100 l
- Chamber Load 240 x 4" x 6" Steel Panels
- Laboratory Room Temperature 26-28 °C





Q

 $Q<sub>L</sub>$ 

#### **Volvo VCS 1027, 149 (ACT I)**

- Chamber Volume 1100 l
- Chamber Load Empty
- Laboratory Room Temperature 22-25 °C





 $Q$ 

## **Volvo VCS 1027, 1449 (ACT-II)/Ford L-467**

- Chamber Volume 1100 l
- Chamber Load Empty
- Laboratory Room Temperature 22-25 °C





Q

 $94A$ 

#### **GMW 14872**

- Chamber Volume 1100 l
- Chamber Load Empty
- Laboratory Room Temperature 22-25°C





 $\Omega$ 

## **Questions?**





 $\Omega$